

ADDENDUM 1 TO THE PARADISE RECREATION AND PARKS DISTRICT (“DISTRICT”) PRE-QUALIFICATION APPLICATION FOR VARIOUS PROJECTS OVER \$1,000,000 (“PROJECTS”)

Addendum Date: August 25, 2026

A. This Addendum shall be considered part of the Pre-Qualification Application for Various Projects Over \$1,000,000 (“Projects”) as though it had been issued at the same time and shall be incorporated integrally therewith. Where provisions of the following supplementary data differ from those of the Pre-Qualification Application, this Addendum shall govern and take precedence. RESPONDENTS MUST SIGN THE ADDENDUM AND SUBMIT IT WITH THEIR PRE-QUALIFICATION APPLICATION.

B. Respondents are hereby notified that they shall make any necessary adjustments in their Pre-Qualification Application as a result of this Addendum. It will be construed that each Respondent's written response to this Pre-Qualification Application is submitted with full knowledge of all modifications and supplemental data specified herein.

Except as described below, the Pre-Qualification Application for the Projects remains unchanged. The Pre-Qualification Application is modified and/or clarified, as follows:

District Initiated Modifications:

1. The deadline for submission of Pre-Qualification Applications is hereby extended from August 26, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. to September 2, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. All references in the Pre-Qualification Application to the August 26, 2026 submission deadline are hereby revised accordingly.
2. Attachment 1, Public Works Project Data Sheet, Item 5 – Project Costs. The point structure for Item 5, Project Costs, is hereby revised as follows:

\$2,000,000 or more (excluding owner-controlled allowances) – 5 points
\$1,000,000 to less than \$2,000,000 (excluding owner-controlled allowances) – 3 points
Less than \$1,000,000 (excluding owner-controlled allowances) – 0 points

Additional Pre-Qualification Documents:

1. ATTACHMENT 1, PRE-QUALIFICATION APPLICATION MANDATORY PRE-SUBMITTAL CONFERENCE ATTENDANCE LIST 8-19-2026

Respondent questions and District responses to questions received on or before 1:00 PM, August 21, 2026.

1. 4.11.2, Tab/Section 2: there isn't anything specified. I assume this is where you would want to see the answers to 2. Insurance and Bonding with Tab/Section 2(A) Surety letter behind it. Can you please confirm if this is correct, or if it is intended for the answers to “2. Insurance and Bonding” to remain within Section 1, as part of the “Completed and executed form of Pre-Qualification Application and Questionnaire”

Response: Yes. Tab/Section 2 is intended for the Applicant's completed responses to Section 2, "Insurance and Bonding." Tab/Section 2(A) shall contain the surety letter required by Section 3.5.

2. Section 4.11.4 Tab/Section 4 refers to “...Applicant's proposed personnel (Pre-Qualification Questionnaire, Section 9).” However, Section 9 is “Addenda,” and the proposed personnel

appears to be a part of Section 8. I just want to ensure we are not misreading anything here.

Response: Correct. The reference to "Section 9" in Section 4.11.4 is a typographical error. The proposed personnel requirements are contained in Section 8. Section 4.11.4 should reference Section 8.

3. Section 8. Similar Projects states, "An Applicant must receive a minimum of 25 points out of a possible 35 points in this section." I'm looking at Attachment 1, Public Works Project Data Sheet, and the points listed only total up to 20 per project. It looks like #5 doesn't have any points associated. Unless this section is 10 points, I don't quite see how it could add up to a possible 35 points. Can you please clarify how many points should be available on Attachment 1, Public Works Project Data Sheet, if any points are not listed or missing, or if the points are in a different section?

Response: The reference in Section 8 to a minimum of 25 points out of 35 is incorrect. Section 8 has a maximum score of 20 points and an Applicant must receive a minimum of 15 points to qualify. Each of the two required Project Data Sheets will be scored in accordance with Attachment 1, and the Applicant's Section 8 score will be the average of the scores received for the two required projects.

4. Section 8. Similar Projects: it says to submit 2 projects. Is this limited to 2 projects, or can we submit more?

Response: Applicants shall submit two (2) qualifying projects. Additional projects are not required and will not be considered in the scoring of Section 8.

5. Regarding acknowledgement of Addenda, will this be sent out via email to all attendees of the mandatory Zoom meeting or only select individuals?

Response: Addenda will be distributed to all Applicants identified on the Applicants List resulting from attendance at the mandatory pre-submittal conference.

6. Proposed Project Personnel: Are we allowed to submit more than one person per position? We have multiple project engineers and project managers who would be qualified and would be interested in listing all.

Response: Yes. Applicants may identify more than one qualified individual for a proposed personnel position. Qualifications and experience should be provided for each individual listed. Listing an individual does not require that the individual be assigned to every future District project; specific staffing requirements may be established in individual project bid documents.

7. There appears to be a discrepancy between Section 5.1 "Evaluation Criteria" and the Prequalification Application Form Points. Please confirm the points for each section and the required minimum points.

Response: The District confirms the following maximum and minimum scores: Section 4 – 35/50; Section 5 – 140/170; Section 6 – 45/60; Section 7 – 15/20; and Section 8 – 15/20.

8. Would the owner consider reducing the dollar value in question 4.4 from initial construction cost of at least (\$5,000,000) to initial construction cost of at least (\$2,500,000).

Response: The District will revise Section 4.4 so that qualifying public works projects for purposes of the scoring criteria have an initial construction value of at least two million dollars (\$2,000,000) rather than five million dollars (\$5,000,000). The point structure otherwise remains unchanged.

9. The current point structure of section 4 will eliminate many qualified companies. Would the owner consider changing the point structure for section 4.5 to:
- 15 points for 10 or more years
 - 10 points for 5-10 years
 - 5 points for 3-4 years
 - 0 points for less than 3 years

Response: Yes. Section 4.5 will be revised as follows:

- 10 or more years – 15 points
- 5 years to less than 10 years – 10 points
- 3 years to less than 5 years – 5 points
- Less than 3 years – 0 points.

If Respondents need further directions regarding the Addendum #1 to the Pre-Qualification Application, please contact Sarah Hoffman at shoffman@paradisepd.com.

RESPONDENT MUST ACKNOWLEDGE THIS ADDENDUM BY SIGNING BELOW
AND ATTACHING THE SIGNED ADDENDUM TO THE PRE-QUALIFICATION APPLICATION:

Company Name _____

Contact Person _____

Signature _____

Date _____

ATTACHMENT 1

PRE-QUALIFICATION APPLICATION MANDATORY PRE-SUBMITTAL CONFERENCE ATTENDANCE LIST 8-19-2026

Name	Company
Seng Phan	Not Provided
Pamela Gillaspy	Mesa Energy Systems, Inc. / EMCOR
Kallie Mengwasser	JCO Engineering, Inc.
Kristi Rose	Santos Excavating, Inc.
Jason Carr	Experts In Your Home
Joan Padilla	Robert A. Bothman Construction
Julio Perez	Wabo Landscape and Construction, Inc.
Sarah Sinclair	BCM Construction Company, Inc.
Mathew Rohrs	CWS Construction Group, Inc.
Joseph Mason Goitia	Synergy Builders
Doug Munjar	DADCCO Construction
Phil Strawn	Modern Building, Inc.
Brayden Amovick	Butte Construction Company
Monique Gomez	United Building Contractors
Sergio Soria	R&R Horn Contractors, Inc.
Sheree Liddell	Walberg, Inc.
Marco	Parker Landscape Development, Inc.
Sami Abdelatif	Sunseri Construction
Torrey Weisberg	Sunseri Construction
Rick Correa	Parker Landscape Development, Inc.
Jed Maralit	Robert A. Bothman Construction
Hannah Taylor	Hilbers Inc